



Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
Center for Substance Abuse Prevention
Division of Knowledge Development and Evaluation

Acknowledgments

This document was produced under the guidance of Stephen E. Gardner, D.S.W., project officer; Paul J. Brounstein, Ph.D.; and Deborah Stone, Ph.D., Division of Knowledge Development and Evaluation, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (SAMHSA/CSAP), through contract #282-98-0023 to ROW Sciences, Inc., Carol Winner, project director.

Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs is based on *A Guide to Science-Based Practices in Substance Abuse Prevention*, a seminal monograph developed and written by Paul J. Brounstein, Janine M. Zweig, and Stephen E. Gardner, with substantial contributions from Maria Carmona, Paul Florin, Roy Gabriel, and Kathy Stewart.

This document could not have been completed without the thoughtful advice and input of Steven Schinke, Ph.D., of CSAP's National Center for the Advancement of Prevention.

Special thanks go to a dedicated review panel composed of Eric Einspruch, Roy Gabriel, and Katherine Laws, RMC Research Corporation; Carol Hays, Illinois State Incentive Grant Program; Patricia Post, Central Regional Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies; and Mary Joyce Prudden, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, who offered invaluable suggestions for improving the document.

The Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) has reviewed and approved policy-related information in this document but has not verified the accuracy of data or analyses presented in the document. The opinions expressed herein are the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position of SAMHSA or DHHS.

DHHS Publication No. (SMA)01-3506
Printed 2001

For single copies of this document, contact SAMHSA's National Clearinghouse for Alcohol and Drug Information (NCADI), P.O. Box 2345, Rockville, MD 20847-2345; 1-800-729-6686, 301-468-2600, or TDD 1-800-487-4889; or visit the Web site at www.samhsa.gov.

Foreword

Although recent reports show a leveling or decrease in substance use among our nation's youth, drug abuse remains a problem in our country. There were 14.8 million current users of illicit drugs in 1999. This figure represents 6.7 percent of the population ages 12 years and older. The 1999 National Household Survey also found increases in illicit drug use among adults ages 18–25. Although the rates for those 26–34 years old and 35 years and older have not changed significantly since 1994, overall statistics indicate that there is still work to be done in preventing substance abuse.

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) developed this series of products in response to the ongoing substance abuse problems. The three components in this series support CSAP's mission to provide resources that are based on science, with measurable outcomes, and designed to help community and state leaders formulate targeted programs.

CSAP is committed to sponsoring, accumulating, and integrating knowledge regarding scientifically defensible and effective prevention practices. The primary foci of each document in this series is CSAP grantees, constituent organizations, and the communities these groups serve.

We are pleased to release this printed version of our Web-based guide to proven and promising substance abuse prevention programs. The information is arranged in an easy-to-read grid organized by risk factor and domain. Three indexes, arranged by program name, intervention, or risk/protective factors, provide additional search tools. Please note that this printed version is only a static view of a tool that will be updated and maintained on the Web site.

For each intervention, there are data on target age, Institute of Medicine prevention classification, program outcome, and the strategies specified in the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment block grant legislation.

For over a decade, CSAP has supported demonstration programs designed to identify interventions that work. This research confirms that there are a variety of proven approaches to substance abuse prevention. Interventions aimed at reducing the risk factors and increasing the protective factors linked to substance abuse and related problem behavior can produce immediate and long-term positive results.

This document is one in a series developed to help key stakeholders structure and assess scientifically defensible programs. It is designed to serve practitioners, researchers, and policymakers as we work together to develop innovative and effective solutions to substance abuse prevention that respond to the unique needs of our individual communities.

Joseph H. Autry III, M.D.
(Acting) Administrator
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services
Administration

Ruth Sanchez-Way, Ph.D.
Director
Center for Substance Abuse Prevention
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services
Administration

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	ii
Foreword	iii
Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs	1
Introduction	1
Why the Information in the Matrix Is Important	2
How to Use the Matrix	2
Indexes	5
Programs: Index I	5
Interventions by Domain: Index II	7
Outcomes by Domain: Index III	9
References	37

Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs

Introduction

For more than a decade, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) has supported demonstration programs designed to identify interventions that work with populations at high risk to prevent substance abuse, delay its onset, and reduce substance abuse-related behaviors.

Research now confirms that interventions aimed at reducing the risk factors and increasing the protective factors linked to substance abuse and related problem behavior can produce immediate and long-term positive results.

Substance abuse is a complex problem that develops in response to multiple influences. Spheres of activity within the community—typically called domains—include the individual, family, peer, school, community, and society/environment. Each domain presents an opportunity for preventive action.

Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs is a comprehensive compilation of both proven and promising interventions presented in an easy-to-scan grid (henceforth referred to as the Data Matrix), organized by risk factor and domain. For each intervention, the Data Matrix also includes information on target age, Institute of Medicine (IOM) prevention classification, program outcome, and the strategies specified in the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) block grant legislation. The IOM classification scheme helps delineate audiences for prevention programs. The three categories are universal, selective, and indicated preventive interventions. Definitions follow:

- Universal preventive interventions: Activities targeted to the general public or a whole population group that has not been identified on the basis of individual risk.

Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) Strategies

- Information dissemination to increase knowledge and change attitudes about substance use and abuse through activities such as classroom discussions and media campaigns.
- Prevention education to teach participants important social skills such as drug resistance and decision making.
- Alternatives to offer opportunities for participation in developmentally appropriate drug-free activities to replace, reduce, or eliminate involvement in substance use-related activities.
- Problem identification and referral to recognize individuals with suspected substance use problems and refer them for assessment and treatment.
- Community-based processes to expand community resources dedicated to preventing substance use and abuse through activities such as building community coalitions.
- Environmental approaches to promote policy changes that reduce risk factors and preserve or increase protective factors such as stepped-up enforcement of legal purchase age for alcohol and tobacco products.

- Selective preventive interventions: Activities targeted to individuals or a subgroup of the population whose risk of developing a disorder is significantly higher than average.
- Indicated preventive interventions: Activities targeted to individuals in high-risk environments. These individuals are identified as having minimal but detectable signs or symptoms foreshadowing disorder or having biological markers indicating predisposition for disorder but not yet meeting diagnostic levels.

The SAPT block grant legislation provides funding to states to support activities related to the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of mental illness and addictive disorders. Types of funded strategies are noted in the box.

The data matrix provides information on CSAP domains, IOM classifications, and SAPT strategies. This information allows users to identify programs that will best address their needs. For example, Growing Healthy is in the individual domain, targeting children 5 to 12 years old. It falls into the IOM category of universal preventive interventions, so it is targeted to all children in that age group. It uses two SAPT strategies: information dissemination and prevention education.

Why the Information in the Matrix is Important

Today, Federal and State agencies, local governments, and private foundations are interested in funding substance use prevention programs with measurable outcomes. The new emphasis on performance means that prevention practitioners must show that the programs they propose are both outcome-oriented and likely to achieve the outcomes predicted. The prevention field now has an empirical knowledge base to assist programs in selecting proven approaches. Using scientifically defensible interventions will help practitioners respond to demands for accountability while ensuring that program participants receive the most effective services available.

The interventions and models that follow have been identified through a combination of review efforts. These include meta-analyses, expert peer and consensus panels, and evaluations conducted or supported by CSAP, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, and the U.S. Departments of Justice and Education, among others. While some reviews were more rigorous than others, all of the interventions and models that appear in the Data Matrix can serve as program building blocks for prevention providers committed to offering effective services.

Promising and Proven Substance Abuse Prevention Programs is one in a series of three interrelated tools that CSAP has developed to assist program planners, evaluators, administrators, and policy makers in designing and assessing scientifically defensible programs. The other tools are the following:

- *Science-Based Substance Abuse Prevention: A Guide*, a comprehensive, technical description of the CSAP methodology for identifying scientifically defensible programs; and
- *Principles of Substance Abuse Prevention*, a selected listing of proven and cited principles organized by CSAP domain.

This document has also been designed as a Web-based document of substance abuse prevention programs with hot links to additional information about many of the programs listed in this matrix, including contact information for many of the program developers. (To access the up-to-date Data Matrix online, go to www.samhsa.gov/csap/modelprograms.) Data Matrix users can tap into much of the information currently available on a particular program via the Web site, and information can be readily updated as more programs undergo the review process.

How to Use the Matrix


The Data Matrix is organized by six domains of activity (individual, family, peer, school, community, and society/environmental). As individuals develop, they interact with these domains, which play a role in determining whether a substance abuse problem develops. The Web of Influence (figure 1) illustrates those dynamic and complicated relationships.

In addition, the matrix includes information on specific risk/protective factors, interventions, programs supporting the interventions, target age, IOM category, program outcomes, and SAPT strategies used by the programs.

Three indexes allow users to search the matrix for scientifically defensible prevention programs by name (Index I), by subcategory of domain factors (Index II), and by outcome by domain (Index III).

It is important to note that programs are often complex and target multiple domains and risk factors. As a result, many programs appear under more than one domain and are included in more than one matrix.

Figure 1
Web of Influence



* Society/Environment: Refers to the total complex of external social, cultural, and economic conditions affecting a community or an individual.
 ** Community: Refers to the specific geographic location where an individual resides and to the conditions within that particular area.

Program Name—Index I

To find a specific program by name, refer to Index I, which includes an alphabetized list of all programs included in the Data Matrix.

Interventions by Domain—Index II

To find specific interventions, refer to Index II.

Outcomes by Domain—Index III

To find specific outcomes, refer to Index III.

Programs

INDEX I

Program Name	Matrix
Across Ages ^{6,35}	G, N, Z, HH
ADEPT Drug and Alcohol Community Prevention Project ²²	X
Adolescent Alcohol Prevention Trial ^{11,48}	EE
Adolescent Transitions Program ¹⁰	P, Q
African American Adolescent Alcohol and Drug Program ²²	K
ATLAS ¹⁸	B, C, D, E, N, Y, DD
Be a Star ⁴⁴	A, E, F, G, N, O, Q, W
Big Brothers/Big Sisters ⁵⁷	G
CASPAR Intervention Project ²²	CC
Child Development Project ^{1,6}	Y, AA, CC
Coate & Grossman (1988) ⁷	KK
Comprehensive Youth and Family Excellence Project ²²	E
Communities Mobilizing for Change on Alcohol ⁵⁹	II
Creating Lasting Connections ^{6,25,51}	A, E, F, L, P, Q, R, S, T, V, Y, EE, II
DARE To Be You ⁶	P
Early Childhood Substance Abuse Prevention Program ¹⁶	A
Early Intervention Program with Delinquent Substance-Using Adolescents ¹⁶	K
Families and Schools Together ²²	Q
Family Advocacy Network ^{6,16,50}	E, L, Q
Family Effectiveness Training ^{55,56}	Q
Focus on Families ⁴⁸	P
Greater Alliance of Prevention Systems ⁶	F, GG
Growing Healthy ³⁶	B
Growing Up Well ²²	CC
Helping Children and Their Families Face Drug Abuse ²²	X
Houston Parent-Child Development Center ²⁴	K
I'm Special ²⁹	B
Keep a Clear Mind ^{60,62}	Q, V
Kazdin et al. (1992) ²⁸	P
La Familia Fuerte ¹⁶	Q, X
Lakeland County Fighting Back Project ⁴	II
Life Skills Training Program ^{3,46}	C, L
Multi-Model School-Based Prevention Demonstration ^{19,20}	Y
NTU Project ²³	F, Y
Ozarks Fighting Back ⁴	II
Palmgreen et al. (1995) ⁴⁰	O, LL
Peer Assistance and Leadership ³⁴	L, V, Y, Z, BB
Peer Support Retreats ¹⁷	V
Perry Preschool Project ²	K
Perth Amboy Community Partnership for Youth ²²	CC
Positive Action ¹⁵	A, B, C, E, F, G, I, K, L, N, P, Q, R, T, W, X, Y, AA, CC, EE
Prenatal/Early Infancy Project ^{37,38,39}	S
Project ALERT ¹³	C
Project C.A.R.E. ²³	E, L
Project Family ^{48,49}	P
Project HIPATHE ³²	BB

Guide to Science-Based Practices

Project Involvement ²²II
Project Northland ^{43,61}II
Project Self-Discovery ¹⁶M, GG
Project STAR ^{41,42}Q, FF, II, LL
Project SUCCESS ⁴⁵X
Project Towards No Tobacco Use ^{8,52,53,54}A, B, C, E, L, LL
Reaching High-Risk Youth in Public Housing ²²GG
Reconnecting Youth Program ¹²X
Residential Student Assistance Program ⁶K, L
Safe 2000 Community Partnership ⁴II
School-based Treatment Aftercare Support Groups ³²J
School Connectedness and Student Resiliency: Skills for Action ³³L, Y
Seattle Social Development Project ^{21,48}I, R, W, AA
SMART Leaders ^{6,16,50}A, E, H, U, GG
SMART Moves (in Boys and Girls Clubs) ⁵GG
Southwest Texas State University's Program ^{16,23}A, W, GG
Stop Teenage Addiction to Tobacco ⁹FF, JJ
Storytelling for Empowerment ²²A
Strategies with Technology and Affective and Remedial Support ²²Z
Strengthening Families Program ^{30,31}P, T
Strengthening Hawaii Families Program ^{26,27}P, Q
Substance Abuse Resources and Disability Issues ¹⁶II
Sunshine Project ¹⁶X
Teenage Health Teaching Modules ¹⁴B
Tremblay et al. (1991, 1995) ⁵⁸K
Tri-County Substance Abuse Prevention Alliance ⁴CC, II
Woodrock Youth Development Program ¹⁶A, B, E
Yale Child Welfare Project ⁴⁷K

Interventions by Domain

INDEX II

INDIVIDUAL DOMAIN

After-school alcohol, tobacco, and drug education/peer-led curricula.....	A, E
Case management approach, involving in-school intervention programs, technical training, cultural awareness, and therapy	K
Classroom curricula designed to motivate pro-health decisions and skill use.....	B
Classroom curricula designed to specifically address alcohol, tobacco, and drug-related behavior (above curricula with multiyear booster sessions).....	C
Classroom management with individual and group counseling/family therapy.....	I
Classroom/peer-led curricula, including education.....	A
Coach-facilitated, peer-led interactive curriculum.....	C
Community service.....	N
Culturally appropriate activities.....	F, G
Curricula incorporating cultural heritage lessons along with culturally appropriate activities	F
Education peer support groups reinforcing unsupportive attitudes toward sexual permissiveness	H
Home visits	K
In-school alcohol, tobacco, and drug education.....	E
Institutional placement, peer support groups, drug and social skills education, and individual counseling.....	K
Instructor-facilitated, peer-led interactive curriculum.....	D
Life skills training/values clarification and antiviolence models.....	K
Life skills training/values clarification.....	F
Life skills/social skills training (e.g., classroom training, training outside of school, role-play)	L
Life/social skills training	K
Mentoring.....	G
Outpatient drug abuse treatment with aftercare support groups.....	J
Public service announcements (PSAs) warnings of dangers of drug use and other risk-taking behavior.....	O
Social group work combined with social skills education and artistic engagement.....	N, O
Sport team-centered, peer-led interactive curriculum.....	E
Team-centered sport nutrition and exercise curriculum with goal-setting and weight room instruction.....	B
Team-centered, peer-led interactive curriculum.....	N
Therapeutic interventions combined with social skills education and artistic engagement.....	M

FAMILY DOMAIN

Home visits.....	S
Individual and parent/child counseling, parent education, play therapy, skill-building for children.....	T
Parent education.....	S
Parent education and classroom management.....	R
Parent education/family therapy.....	Q
Parent education/parenting skills training	P
Task-oriented family education sessions combining social skills training to improve family interaction (e.g., communication skills).....	Q

PEER DOMAIN

Classroom and peer support groups reinforcing unsupportive attitudes toward sexual permissiveness.....	U
Guided peer reinforcement of pro-abstinence norms among children with recurrent conduct problems, combined with drug education and peer resistance skill-building.....	V
Involving youth in alternative/recreational activities	W
Parent education and classroom management.....	W
Peer resistance education.....	V, W
Task-oriented family education sessions combining social skills training to improve family interaction (e.g., communication skills).....	V

SCHOOL DOMAIN

Changes in teaching approaches and parent involvement, with classroom drug education.....CC
Comprehensive health curricula, combined with Student Assistance Program model.....CC
Comprehensive school change programs including components to improve parent involvement, change
classroom management and/or instructional style, and improve student participation and school bonding.....AA
Creating supportive school communities.....Y
Creation and enforcement of clear drug policies, often accompanied by change in disciplinary codes and
installation of security devicesCC
Individual and group counseling based on drug education, self-esteem, stress reduction, family/peer relations,
decisionmaking skills, and school attitude.....Z
Instructor-facilitated, sport team-centered, peer-led interactive curriculum.....Y
Life and social skills trainingY
Life skills training with role playDD
Mentoring.....Z
Peer tutoring, along with school-based skills development and substance abuse education.....BB
Peer tutoring, along with school-based skills development and substance abuse education.....X
Peer tutors and use of school for after-hours enrichment and parent education.....BB
School-based support group and skills development class.....X
Student Assistance Program, including teacher training, student programming, and school policy change.....CC
Tutoring, peer tutoring, homework assistance.....X

COMMUNITY DOMAIN

Education to alter perceptions of societal norms and expectations.....EE
Enforcement of tobacco sales laws.....FF
Establishment of drug-free sites within communities.....FF
Establishment and funding of prevention infrastructure with opportunities for community “ownership”II
Establishment of supervised youth recreational and cultural programs.....GG
Mentoring combined with community service and drug education.....HH
Multiagency activities and collaborationII

SOCIETY DOMAIN

Classroom-based media education to counter alcohol and tobacco advertising.....LL
Enforcement of tobacco sales lawsJJ
Increased taxes on alcohol and tobacco.....KK
PSAs warning of dangers of drug use and other risk-taking behaviors.....LL

Outcomes by Domain

INDEX III

Individual Domain

Decrease in rejection of conventional values.....	F, K
Decreased amount of fighting and trouble with the police.....	K
Decreased levels of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use.....	A, B, C, E, K, L
Greater improvement in identifying unsafe objects related to ATIDs.....	A
Improved ability to cope with stress and reduced perceived psychological stress and problems.....	M
Improved knowledge and attitudes about drugs and alcohol; delayed tobacco smoking	A, B, E
Improved nutrition and exercise behaviors.....	B
Improved perception of athletic competence.....	N
Improved school attendance.....	L
Improved skills in goal setting.....	L
Increased communication, decision-making skills, and ability to refuse to use substances.....	L
Increased family and school bonding	G
Increased knowledge of alcohol, drugs, and sexual activity.....	A, H
Increased knowledge; decreased use of anabolic steroids, alcohol, and drugs.....	C
Increased perception of harm caused by substance use	A
Increased self-control.....	O
Increased self-efficacy and self-concept.....	F, K, N
Increased sense of well-being and knowledge of community service; more positive attitudes toward people and the future.....	G, N
Fewer perceived social benefits from using marijuana.....	E
Lower willingness to use substances.....	E, G
Lowered initiation of anabolic steroids, alcohol, drugs, and sport supplement use; increased perception of personal susceptibility to anabolic steroids' harmful effects.....	D, E
Reduced antisocial behavior.....	F, G, K
Reduced truancy, delinquency, and school dropout; improved academic performance.....	I, J, K, L
Sensation-targeted PSAs reduced participation in high-risk behaviors.....	O
Significantly increased cultural pride.....	F

Family Domain

Decreased child abuse and neglect, reduced prenatal problems, delayed subsequent pregnancies, improved high school graduation rates.....	S
Decreased child behavior problems	Q
Decreased family pathology.....	P, Q, S
Decreased use of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol.....	Q, T
Enhanced parent-child communication regarding refusing and avoiding alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs	Q
Improved behavioral outcomes among children of recovering substance abusers, decreased tobacco and alcohol use, decreased family conflict, and increased family communication.....	T
Improved school performance and behavioral outcomes.....	Q
Improved self-concept.....	P
Increased ability to refuse alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana.....	Q
Increased parental self-efficacy, parental discipline, and monitoring	P
Increased parenting skills; decreased parental drug use.....	P
Increased use of community services for personal and family problems.....	P
Increased youth bonding with mother and father.....	R
Increased youth involvement in setting family rules (both ATID rules and non-ATID rules).....	P
Reduced antisocial behavior, improved academic skills, greater commitment to school, reduced levels of alienation, and better bonding to prosocial persons	R
Reduced disciplinary problems	R

Reduced family conflict, improved school behavior, and reduced tobacco use.....P
 Reduced parental stress; decreased child dysfunction and aggressive, antisocial, and delinquent behavior;
 increased prosocial competence.....P,Q

Peer Domain

Decreased perception of peer alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana useV
 Improved self-concept.....W
 Increased ability to resist peer pressure and lower involvement with peers who use harmful substances.....V, W
 Increased Getting Real communication with peers (communication based on ability to say no and feel good
 about oneself and one’s responses to inappropriate ATID-related and other behaviors).....V
 Increased knowledge about sex and less permissive views of early sexual activity.....U, V
 Increased prosocial behaviorW
 Reduced delinquency with weaker effects on drug use.....W
 Reduced violence and truancy.....W

School Domain

Decreased substance abuse and delinquency and improved grades.....X, Y, AA, BB
 Fewer days absent and improved attitudes toward school.....Y, Z, CC
 Higher scores on standardized achievement tests.....X
 Immediate school expulsion policy implemented for possession of substancesCC
 Improved perception of the school team as an information source.....Y
 Improved reading and math test scoresX
 Improved school climate and policies through school/community partnership approach.....BB
 Improved Spanish, social studies, science, and overall grade point average.....X
 Improved teacher practices led to positive changes in classroom behaviors, which, in turn, were related
 to students’ sense of community.....AA, CC
 Increased attendance, reduced discipline referralsY, BB
 Increased refusal skillsDD
 Increased use of treatment facilities by students; staff who participated in the workshops more likely to talk to
 students regarding alcohol, tobacco, and drug issues and refer students for help.....CC
 Less than optimal implementation of curricula and failure to adopt Student Assistance Program model
 resulted in program failure.....CC
 Reduced substance use.....CC
 School board adoption of school substance abuse policy, purchase of tobacco products by minors declined.....CC

Community Domain

Benefited from being part of the consortium by forming new linkages, and consortia goals and objectives were
 achieved.....II
 Decreased levels of substance use; increased assertiveness and cultural pride.....GG
 Decreased presence of crack cocaine and community drug-dealing activity in public housing with new
 Boys and Girls Clubs; decreased vandalized housing; and reduced drug use.....GG
 Enhanced community capacity (including infrastructure and resource development).....II
 Established a 108-member coalition representing almost all key components of the community; established a
 “Prevention Development Fund Program” to support services to youth; increased coordination between public
 and private agencies.....II
 Improved awareness and knowledge of substance use/abuse issues for disabled youth.....II
 Improved self-concept.....EE
 Increased family members’ use of community services for personal and family problems.....EE
 Increased ability to cope with stress.....GG
 Increased ability to resist peer pressure and lower involvement with peers who use harmful substances.....GG
 Increased sense of well-being and knowledge of community service; more positive attitudes toward people
 and the future.....HH
 Prevented drug useEE
 Reduced delinquency incidents.....GG
 Reduced initiation of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol in youth who never used, and increased
 perceptions of friends’ intolerance of alcohol and drug use.....FF, II

Reduced likelihood of 18-20-year-olds to: provide alcohol to other teens, try to buy alcohol, drink in a bar,
or consume alcohol.....II

Strengthened relationships among police, schools, and substance abuse organizations; promoted
coordination of services for minority populations.....II

Society Domain

Decreased use of tobacco productsJJ, LL

Increased beer prices reduced frequent youth drinking.....KK

Reduced use of marijuana, tobacco, and alcoholLL

Sensation-targeted PSAs reduced participation in high-risk behaviors.....LL

Individual Domain

A
ATIDs Knowledge and Attitudes

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Knowledge regarding risks associated with substance use/abuse is related to decreased risk of initiating or continuing use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Classroom/peer-led curricula, including education				
Early Childhood Substance Abuse Prevention Program	2–5 years	Universal	Greater improvement in identifying unsafe objects related to ATIDs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project Toward No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
SMART Leaders	13–17 years	Selective	Increased knowledge of alcohol, drugs, and sexual activity	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Southwest Texas State University's Program	<13 years	Selective	Increased perception of the harmfulness of substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Storytelling for Empowerment	<13 years	Universal	Increased perception of harm caused by substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Woodrock Youth Development Program	9–13 years	Universal	Increased knowledge of the negative effects of substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: After-school alcohol, tobacco, and drug education				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased negative attitudes toward use of alcohol and other drugs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased alcohol and other drug knowledge and beliefs (by youth)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes

B
ATIDs Knowledge and Attitudes

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Lack of information on positive health behaviors is associated with increases in substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Classroom curricula designed to motivate pro-health decisions and skill use				
Growing Healthy	5–12 years	Universal	Improved knowledge and attitudes about drugs and alcohol; delayed tobacco smoking	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
I'm Special	8–12 years	Universal	Decreased use of alcohol and drugs; reduced problem behaviors	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

Table B continued on the next page

Individual Domain

Continued from the previous page

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project Toward No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Teenage Health Teaching Modules	13–17 years	Universal	Decreased drug use problems, alcohol use, etc.	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes
Woodrock Youth Development Program	9–13 years	Universal	Increased knowledge of negative effects of substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Team-centered sport nutrition and exercise curriculum with goal-setting and weight room instruction				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Improved nutrition and exercise behaviors	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

C

ATIDs Knowledge and Attitudes

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Lack of information on drug-related topics in curriculum is associated with increases in substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Classroom curricula designed to specifically address alcohol, tobacco, and drug-related behavior (above curricula with multiyear booster sessions)				
Life Skills Training Program	13–17 years	Universal	Decreased use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project ALERT	11–14 years	Universal	Decreased use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Project Toward No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Coach-facilitated, peer-led interactive curriculum				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Increased knowledge; decreased use of anabolic steroids, alcohol, and drugs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

Individual Domain

D
ATIDs Knowledge and Attitudes

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:
Intentions to use drugs increase future use of drugs

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Instructor-facilitated, peer-led interactive curriculum				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Reduced intentions to use, and use of, anabolic steroids	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

E
ATIDs Knowledge and Attitudes

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:
Negative attitudes toward substances and substance use are associated with decreased likelihood of initiating or continuing use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: After-school alcohol, tobacco, and drug education/peer-led curricula				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increase in negative attitudes toward use of alcohol and drugs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Decrease in favorable attitudes toward alcohol, tobacco, and drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Comprehensive Youth and Family Excellence Project	9 years	Selective	Less likely to say they are willing to use drugs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Family Advocacy Network	13–17 years	Selective	Fewer perceived social benefits from using marijuana	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
SMART Leaders	13–17 years	Selective	Less favorable attitudes toward alcohol, marijuana, and tobacco	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Woodrock Youth Development Program	9–13 years	Universal	Reduced levels of substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: In-school alcohol, tobacco, and drug education				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Process Environmental Approaches
Project C.A.R.E.	9 years	Selective	Lower willingness to use substances	Prevention Education
Project Toward No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

Table E continued on the next page

Individual Domain

Continued from the previous page

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Sport team-centered, peer-led interactive curriculum				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Lowered initiation of anabolic steroids, alcohol, drugs, and sport supplement use; increased perception of personal susceptibility to anabolic steroids' harmful effects	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

F Values

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Bonding to prosocial culture is related to lower delinquency and lower substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Curricula incorporating cultural heritage lessons along with culturally appropriate activities				
NTU Project	<13 years	Selective	Greater appreciation for African heritage and more positive Afrocentric values and racial identity	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Culturally appropriate activities				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased prosocial behavior	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Greater Alliance of Prevention Systems	13–17 years	Selective	Significantly increased cultural pride	Information Dissemination Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
INTERVENTION: Life skills training/values clarification				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Decrease in rejection of conventional values	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes

Individual Domain

**G
Values**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Positive relationships with adults are related to decreases in multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Mentoring				
Across Ages	<13 years	Selective	Increased positivity toward older people and improved attitude toward the future, school, and others	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Big Brothers/Big Sisters	10–14 years	Selective	Less likely to initiate alcohol and drug use	Prevention Education Alternatives
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced disciplinary problems	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Culturally appropriate activities				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased family and school bonding	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

**H
Problem Behaviors**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Early sexual activity/teen pregnancy is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Education peer support groups reinforcing unsupportive attitudes toward sexual permissiveness				
SMART Leaders	13–17 years	Selective	Increased knowledge about sex and less permissive views of early sexual activity	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

**I
Problem Behaviors**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Violence/aggression is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Classroom management with individual and group counseling/family therapy				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced violence and truancy	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Seattle Social Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Decreased aggressive behavior for second-grade males (based on teacher ratings)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

Individual Domain

J Problem Behaviors

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Early substance use is related to subsequent use and abuse

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Outpatient drug abuse treatment with aftercare support groups				
School-based Treatment Aftercare Support Groups	3–7 years	Indicated	Decreased aggressive behavior for second-grade males (based on teacher ratings)	Prevention Education

K Problem Behaviors

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Criminal/delinquent activity is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Institutional placement, peer support groups, drug and social skills education, and individual counseling				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced disciplinary problems	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Residential Student Assistance Program	13–17 years	Indicated	Decreased use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana and decreased quantity and numbers of drugs used	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
INTERVENTION: Life/social skills training				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Tremblay et al. (1994)	5–8 years	Selective	Better school adjustment, less fighting and stealing after social skills training for boys identified as disruptive	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
INTERVENTION: Case management approach, involving in-school intervention programs, technical training, cultural awareness, and therapy				
African American Adolescent Alcohol and Drug Program	12–23 years	Selective	Decreased procriminal values for the treatment group	Prevention Education Alternatives Problem Identification and Referral

Table K continued on the next page

Individual Domain

Continued from the previous page

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Life skills training/values clarification and antiviolence models				
Early Intervention Program with Delinquent Substance-Using Adolescents	13–19 years	Indicated	Decreased fighting and trouble with the police	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective	Reduced violence and truancy	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Home visits				
Houston Parent-Child Development Center	Parents and children 0-3 years	Selective	Reduced aggressive behavior	Prevention Education
Perry Preschool Project	3–5 years	Selective	Reduced truancy, delinquency, and school dropout; improved academic performance	Prevention Education
Yale Child Welfare Project	10 years	Indicated	Reduced antisocial behavior in school at age 10 for boys	Prevention Education Alternatives

Individual Domain

L Skills

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Social competence is associated with decreased likelihood of initiating or continuing use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Life skills/social skills training (e.g., classroom training, training outside of school, role-play)				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased Getting Real communication with peers (communication based on ability to say no and feel good about oneself and one's responses to inappropriate ATID-related and other behaviors)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Family Advocacy Network	13–17 years	Selective	Increased ability to refuse alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Life Skills Training Program	13–17 years	Universal	Decreased levels of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Peer Assistance and Leadership	12–18 years	Universal Selective	Increased communication, decision-making skills, and ability to refuse to use substances	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved school attendance	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project C.A.R.E.	9 years	Selective	Improved skills in goal setting	Prevention Education
Project Toward No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Residential Student Assistance Program	13–17 years	Indicated	Decreased use of alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana and decreased quantity and numbers of drugs used	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
School Connectedness and Student Resiliency: Skills for Action	13–18 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Decreased aggression, fewer suspensions, improved academic performance, and prosocial attitudes	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives Problem Identification and Referral

M Predispositions

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Mental/emotional stress increases risk for initiating or continuing use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Therapeutic interventions combined with social skills education and artistic engagement				
Project Self-Discovery	15–18 years	Selective	Improved ability to cope with stress and reduced perceived psychological stress and problems	Prevention Education

Individual Domain

N

Predispositions

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Sense of well-being/self-confidence decreases the likelihood of participating in multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Community service				
Across Ages	<13 years	Selective	Increased sense of well-being, increased knowledge of community service, and more positive attitudes toward people and the future	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Social group work combined with social skills education and artistic engagement				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased self-efficacy and self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
INTERVENTION: Team-centered, peer-led, interactive curriculum				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Improved perception of athletic competence	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

O

Predispositions

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Risk-taking propensity/impulsivity is associated with participating in multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Public service announcements (PSAs) warning of dangers of drug use and other risk-taking behavior				
Palmgreen et al. (1995)	Youth, young adults	Universal Selected	Sensation-targeted PSAs reduced participation in high-risk behavior	Information Dissemination
INTERVENTION: Social group work combined with social skills education and artistic engagement				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased self-control	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

Family Domain

P Skills

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Consistency of parenting/interaction within family is associated with more positive family dynamics and decreased adult predispositions for stress

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Parent education/parenting skills training				
Adolescent Transitions Program (ATP)	Parents of adolescents (11–17 years)	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced family conflict, improved school behavior, and reduced tobacco use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives Problem Identification and Referral
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased use of community services for personal and family problems Increased youth involvement in setting family rules (both ATID rules and non-ATID rules)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
DARE To Be You	<13 years	Selective	Increased parental self-esteem and appropriate control techniques	Prevention Education
Focus on Families	Parents receiving methadone and their children	Selective	Increased parenting skills; decreased parental drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Kazdin et al. (1992)	8–11 years	Selective	Reduced parental stress; decreased child dysfunction and aggressive, antisocial, and delinquent behavior; increased prosocial competence	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project Family	<13 years	Universal	Positive effects on parental standard-setting, monitoring, and discipline and on parent-child affective quality	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Strengthening Families Program	<13 years	Selective	Increased parental self-efficacy, parental discipline, and monitoring	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
Strengthening Hawaii Families Program	8–11 years	Universal Selective	Improved effective parenting skills/attitudes and parental well-being	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

Family Domain

Q

Family Bonding/Cohesion

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Positive family dynamics are associated with more positive bonding with family members and gains in social skills

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Parent education/family therapy				
Adolescent Transitions Program	Parents of adolescents (11–17 years)	Universal Selective Indicated	Decreased tobacco use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives Problem Identification and Referral
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased family bonding	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
La Familia Fuerte	6–11 years	Selective	Decreased parental stress	Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Task-oriented family education sessions combining social skills training to improve family interaction (e.g., communication skills)				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased positive communication with youth	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Families and Schools Together	Preschool through middle school	Selective	Decreased child behavior problems	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
Family Advocacy Network	13–17 years	Selective	Increased ability to refuse alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Family Effectiveness Training	<13 years	Selective	Improved school performance and behavioral outcomes	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Keep a Clear Mind	9–11 years	Universal	Enhanced parent-child communication regarding refusing and avoiding alcohol, tobacco, and drugs	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved achievement	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Project STAR	13–17 years	Universal	Decreased use of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol	Information Dissemination Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Strengthening Hawaii Families Program	8–11 years	Universal Selective	Improved family cohesion	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

Family Domain

R

Family Bonding/Cohesion

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Social bonding is associated with decreased likelihood of participating in multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Parent education and classroom management				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased youth bonding with mother and father	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced disciplinary problems	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Seattle Social Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Reduced antisocial behavior, improved academic skills, greater commitment to school, reduced levels of alienation, and better bonding to prosocial persons	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

S

Family Domain/Family Climate

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Family conflict/abuse increases the likelihood that children will initiate substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Home visits				
Prenatal/Early Infancy Project	High school-aged mothers and infants	Selective	Decreased child abuse and neglect, reduced prenatal problems, delayed subsequent pregnancies, improved high school graduation rates	Information Dissemination Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
INTERVENTION: Parent education				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Decreased family pathology	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes

Family Domain

**T
Family Climate**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Parents who are active substance abusers or have history of substance abuse increase likelihood that youth will initiate or continue use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Individual and parent/child counseling, parent education, play therapy, skill-building for children				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Decreased inappropriate parents' situational use of alcohol, tobacco, and drugs; increased alcohol and drug knowledge and beliefs (by parents)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Strengthening Families Program	<13 years	Selective	Improved behavioral outcomes among children of recovering substance abusers, decreased tobacco and alcohol use, decreased family conflict, and increased family communication	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral

Peer Domain

U

Bonding With Antisocial Peers

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Inappropriate sexual activity is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Classroom and peer support groups reinforcing unsupportive attitudes toward sexual permissiveness				
SMART Leaders	13–17 years	Selective	Increased knowledge about sex and less permissive views of early sexual activity	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

V

Bonding With Antisocial Peers

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Reinforcement of negative norms and expectations about substance use within peer group increases risk that youth will initiate or continue use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Guided peer reinforcement of pro-abstinence norms among children with recurrent conduct problems, combined with drug education and peer resistance skill-building				
Peer Support Retreats	<13 years	Selective	Increased knowledge about sex and less permissive views of early sexual activity	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Peer resistance education				
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased Getting Real communication with peers (communication based on ability to say no and feel good about oneself and one's responses to inappropriate ATID-related and other behaviors)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Peer Assistance and Leadership	12–18 years	Universal Selective	Increased ability to resist peer pressure and lower involvement with peers who use harmful substances	Prevention Education Alternatives
INTERVENTION: Task-oriented family education sessions combining social skills training to improve family interaction (e.g., communication skills)				
Keep a Clear Mind	9–11 years	Universal	Decreased perception of peer alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

Peer Domain

W

Peer Domain/Bonding With Antisocial Peers

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Ties to deviant peers/gang involvement is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Parent education and classroom management				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced violence and truancy	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Seattle Social Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Reduced delinquency with weaker effects on drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
INTERVENTION: Involving youth in alternative/recreational activities				
Be a Star	5–12 years	Selective	Increased prosocial behavior	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Peer resistance education				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Southwest Texas State University's Program	<13 years	Selective	Increased ability to resist peer pressure and lower involvement with peers who use substances	Alternatives

School Domain

X Performance

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

*Academic failure/dropping out of school vs. academic achievement
is associated with multiple problem behaviors, including substance use*

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: School-based support group and skills development class				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved achievement	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Reconnecting Youth Program	13–17 years	Indicated	Improved school performance, increased school bonding and social support, and reduced severity of drug problems	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
INTERVENTION: Peer tutoring, along with school-based skills development and substance abuse education				
Project SUCCESS	Grades 7–8	Universal	Decreased number of D and F grades received by youth while in the project; the longer in the program, the smaller the increase in use of alcohol, marijuana, inhalants, and polydrugs	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
INTERVENTION: Tutoring, peer tutoring, homework assistance				
ADEPT Drug and Alcohol Community Prevention Project	K–6th grade	Universal	Improved reading and math test scores	Prevention Education Alternatives
Helping Children and Their Families Face Drug Abuse	7–11 years	Selective	Improved Spanish, social studies, science, and overall grade point average	Prevention Education
La Familia Fuerte	6–11 years	Selective	Higher scores on standardized achievement tests	Prevention Education
Sunshine Project	Elementary and middle schools	Selective	Improved mathematics and reading, greater gains for those in the program for a longer time	Prevention Education

School Domain

Y
Bonding

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

School bonding is associated with positive attitudes toward school and improved school achievement

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Creating supportive school communities				
Child Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Increased liking of school and learning motivation	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increased school bonding (see conceptual model in Strader, Collins & Noe, 2000)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Multi-Model School-Based Prevention Demonstration	Middle school	Universal	Improved grade point averages	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
NTU Project	<13 years	Selective	Increased school interest and demonstrated reduced school anxiety	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Peer Assistance and Leadership	12–18 years	Universal Selective	Increased academic performance Reduced discipline referrals Reduced failure rates	Prevention Education Alternatives
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved school attendance	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Cooperative learning intervention				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12 Indicated	Universal Selective	Improved achievement	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Instructor-facilitated, sport team-centered peer-led interactive curriculum				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Improved perception of the school team as an information source	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
INTERVENTION: Life and social skills training				
School Connectedness and Student Resiliency; Skills for Action	13–18 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved academic performance, decreased aggression, fewer suspensions	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives Problem Identification and Referral

School Domain

Z Bonding

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Regular school attendance is associated with positive attitudes toward school

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Mentoring				
Across Ages	<13 years	Selective	Fewer days absent and improved attitudes toward school	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Peer Assistance and Leadership	12–18 years	Universal Selective	Reduced academic failure	Prevention Education Alternatives
INTERVENTION: Individual and group counseling based on drug education, self-esteem, stress reduction, family/peer relations, decisionmaking skills, and school attitude				
Strategies with Technology and Affective and Remedial Support	Grades 9–12	Selective	Decreased truancy	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

AA Climate (Level of Use/Discipline/Curricula)

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Positive instructional climate (responsiveness to student needs, classroom management patterns, parent involvement, etc.) is related to improved teacher practices and increases in student-school bonding and student achievement

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Comprehensive school change programs, including components to improve parent involvement, change classroom management and/or instructional style, and improve student participation and school bonding				
Child Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Improved teacher practices led to positive changes in classroom behaviors, which, in turn, were related to students' sense of community	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved achievement	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Seattle Social Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Improved academic skills, greater commitment to school, reduced misbehavior in school, better prosocial bonding, and fewer incidents of drug use in school	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

School Domain

**BB
Climate**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

School responsiveness to student needs is associated with reductions in substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Peer tutors and use of school for after-hours enrichment and parent education				
Project HIPATHE	13–17 years	Universal Selective	Decreased substance abuse and delinquency and improved grades. Improved school climate and policies through school-community partnership approach	Prevention Education Environmental Approaches
INTERVENTION: Peer tutoring, along with school-based skills development and substance abuse education				
Peer Assistance and Leadership	12–18 years	Universal Selective	Increased academic performance. Increased attendance, reduced discipline referrals	Prevention Education Alternatives

**CC
School Policy**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

School norms/policies discouraging substance use and related behaviors are associated with improved teacher practices and positive student outcomes

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Creation and enforcement of clear drug policies, often accompanied by change in disciplinary codes and installation of security devices				
CASPAR Intervention Project	13–17 years	Selective	Increased use of treatment facilities by students; staff who participated in the workshops more likely to talk to students regarding alcohol, tobacco, and drug issues and refer students for help	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved school attendance	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Tri-County Substance Abuse Prevention Alliance	Lifespan; for this component 5–19 years	Universal	Immediate school expulsion policy implemented for possession of substances	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
INTERVENTION: Changes in teaching approaches and parent involvement, with classroom drug education				
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Reduced substance use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches

Table CC continued on the next page

School Domain

continued from previous page

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
Child Development Project	<13 years	Universal	Improved teacher practices led to positive changes in classroom behaviors, which, in turn, were related to students' sense of community	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
INTERVENTION: Student Assistance Program, including teacher training, student programming, and school policy change				
Perth Amboy Community Partnership for Youth	School-aged youth	Universal	School board adoption of school substance abuse policy; purchase of tobacco products by minors declined	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
INTERVENTION: Comprehensive health curriculum, combined with Student Assistance Program model				
Growing Up Well	6–11 years	Universal Selective	Less-than-optimal implementation of curricula and failure to adopt Student Assistance Program model resulted in program failure	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes

DD Skills

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Social competence is associated with decreased likelihood of initiating use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Life skills training with role play				
ATLAS	13–19 years	Universal	Increased refusal skills	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

Community Domain

**EE
Norms**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Community and societal norms that appear to condone substance abuse increase likelihood youth will initiate or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Education to alter perceptions of societal norms and expectations				
Adolescent Alcohol Prevention Trial	<13 years	Universal	Prevented drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Increase family members' use of community services for personal and/or family problems	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Positive Action	Grades Pre-K–12	Universal Selective Indicated	Improved self-concept	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches

**FF
Access**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Convenient access to alcohol, drugs, and/or tobacco increases likelihood youth will initiate use of substances or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Establishing drug-free sites within communities				
Project STAR	11–17 years	Universal	Reduced initiation of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol in youth who never used, and increased perceptions of friends' intolerance of drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
INTERVENTION: Enforcement of tobacco sales laws				
Stop Teenage Addiction to Tobacco	<21 years	Universal	Reduced use of tobacco	Information Dissemination Environmental Approaches

Community Domain

GG Resources

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Availability of constructive recreation decreases likelihood youth will participate in multiple problem behaviors, including substance use

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Establishment of supervised youth recreational and cultural programs				
Greater Alliance of Prevention Systems	13–17 years	Selective	Decreased levels of substance use; increased assertiveness and cultural pride	Information Dissemination Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Project Self-Discovery	15–18 years	Selective	Increased ability to cope with stress	Alternatives
Reaching High-Risk Youth in Public Housing	All ages	Selective	Decreased presence of crack cocaine and community drug-dealing activity in public housing with new Boys and Girls Clubs; decreased vandalized housing; and reduced drug use	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes
SMART Leaders	13–17 years	Selective	Decreased vandalized housing and reduced drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
SMART Moves (in Boys and Girls Clubs)	11–17 years	Selective	Reduced delinquency incidents	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives
Southwest Texas State University's Program	<13 years	Selective	Increased ability to resist peer pressure and reduced involvement with peers who use substances	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

HH Resources

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Latchkey status/lack of monitoring youths' activities increases risk for initiating or continuing use of substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Mentoring combined with community service and drug education				
Across Ages	<13 years	Selective	Increased sense of well-being and knowledge of community service; more positive attitudes toward people and the future	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Alternatives

Community Domain

**II
Mobilization**

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Existence of widely supported community prevention efforts reinforce positive messages sent by individual prevention programs to decrease likelihood that youth will initiate or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Establishment and funding of prevention infrastructure with opportunities for community “ownership”				
Communities Mobilizing for Change on Alcohol	15–20 years	Universal	Reduced likelihood of 18–20-year-olds to provide alcohol to other teens, try to buy alcohol, drink in a bar, and consume alcohol	Community-based Processes Environmental Approaches
Creating Lasting Connections	9–17 years	Universal Selective Indicated	Enhance community capacity (including infrastructure and resource development)	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Lakeland County Fighting Back Project	Lifespan	Universal	Statistically significant reductions in underage alcohol use; reductions in substance abuse	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Ozarks Fighting Back	Lifespan	Universal	Reduced use of illicit drugs and underage alcohol use	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Project Northland	11–14 years	Universal	Reduced use of alcohol and tobacco; reduced tobacco and marijuana use; changed understanding about how many youth drink	Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Project STAR	11–17 years	Universal	Reduced initiation of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol in youth who never used, and increased perceptions of friends’ intolerance of drug use	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Safe 2000 Community Partnership	Lifespan	Universal	Statistically significant reductions in underage alcohol use; reductions in substance abuse	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Tri-County Substance Abuse Prevention Alliance	Lifespan	Universal Selective	Statistically significant reductions in substance abuse	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach Problem Identification and Referral

Table II continued on the next page

Community Domain

Continued from the previous page

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Multiagency activities and collaboration				
Ozarks Fighting Back	Lifespan	Universal	Established a coalition of 108 members representing almost all key components of the community; established a Prevention Development Fund Program to support services to youth; increased coordination between public and private agencies	Prevention Education Alternatives Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Project Involvement	8–17 years	Universal	Benefited from being part of the consortium by forming new linkages; consortia goals and objectives were achieved	Prevention Education Problem Identification and Referral Community-based Processes
Safe 2000 Community Partnership	Lifespan	Universal	Developed four community action teams that developed prevention capacities within 50 communities	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Substance Abuse Resources and Disability Issues	Disabled youth generally	Selective	Improved awareness and knowledge of substance use/abuse issues for disabled youth	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes
Tri-County Substance Abuse Prevention Alliance	Lifespan	Universal	Strengthened relationships among police, schools, and substance abuse organizations; promoted coordination of services for minority populations	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach

Society Domain

JJ
Access

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Convenient access to alcohol, drugs, and tobacco increases likelihood youth will initiate use of substances or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Enforcement of tobacco sales laws				
Stop Teenage Addiction to Tobacco	<21 years	Universal	Reduced use of tobacco	Information Dissemination Environmental Approach

KK
Access

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Low retail prices of alcohol, drugs, and/or tobacco increase likelihood that youth will initiate use of substances or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: Increased taxes on alcohol and tobacco				
Coate & Grossman (1988)	12–20 years	Universal	Increased beer prices reduced frequent youth drinking	Environmental Approach

LL
Norms

Targeted Risk/Protective Factor:

Exposure to mass media messages that appear to support substance abuse increases likelihood that youth will initiate use of substances or continue to use substances

PROGRAMS OR RESEARCH SUPPORTING INTERVENTION	TARGET AGE	IOM CATEGORY	PROGRAM OUTCOME	STRATEGIES UTILIZED BY OVERALL PROGRAM
INTERVENTION: PSAs warning of dangers of drug use and other risk-taking behaviors				
Palmgreen et al. (1995)	Youth, young adults	Universal Selective	Sensation-targeted PSAs reduced participation in high-risk behaviors	Information Dissemination
INTERVENTION: Classroom-based media education to counter alcohol and tobacco advertising				
Project STAR	11–17 years	Universal	Reduced use of marijuana, tobacco, and alcohol	Information Dissemination Prevention Education Community-based Processes Environmental Approach
Project Towards No Tobacco Use	10–15 years	Universal	Decreased use of tobacco products	Information Dissemination Prevention Education

References

- Battistich, V., Schaps, E., & Solomon, D. (1996). Prevention effects of the Child Development Project: Early findings from an ongoing multisite demonstration trial. *Journal of Adolescent Research, 11*(1), 12–35.
- Berrueta-Clement, J. R., Schweinhart, L. J., Barnett, W. S., Epstein, A. S., & Weikart, D. P. (1985). *Changed lives: The effects of the Perry Preschool Program on youths through age 19*. Ypsilanti, MI: High Scope Press.
- Botvin, G. J., Baker, E., Dusenbury, L. D., Botvin, E. M., & Diaz, T. (1995). Long-term followup results of a randomized drug abuse prevention trial in a white middle-class population. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 273*(14), 106–112.
- Brounstein, P. J., & Zweig, J. (1999). *Understanding substance abuse prevention. Toward the 21st century: A primer on effective programs*. Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (DHHS Publication No. SMA 99-3301). Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. (1996). A review of alternative activities and alternative programs in youth-oriented prevention: CSAP Technical Report No. 13. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. (1999). *Understanding substance abuse prevention. Toward the 21st century: A primer on effective programs* (DHHS Publication No. SMA 99-3301). Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Center for Substance Abuse Prevention. (2000). *Prevention works through community partnerships: Findings from SAMHSA/CSAP's national evaluation*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Coate, D., & Grossman, M. (1988). Effects of alcoholic beverage prices and legal drinking ages on youth alcohol use. *Journal of Law and Economics, 31*, 145–171.
- Dent, C. W., Sussman, S., Stacy, A. W., Craig, S., Burton, D., & Flay, B. R. (1995). Two-year behavior outcomes of Project Towards No Tobacco Use. *Journal of Clinical and Consulting Psychology, 63*, 676–677.
- DiFranza, J. R., Savageau, J. A., & Aisquith, B. F. (1996). Youth access to tobacco: The effects of age, gender, vending machine locks, and "It's the Law" programs. *American Journal of Public Health, 86*(2), 221–224.
- Dishion, T. J., Patterson, G. R., & Kavanagh, K. A. (1992). An experimental test of the coercion model: Linking theory, measurement and intervention. In J. McCord & R. E. Tremble (Eds.), *Preventing antisocial behavior: Interventions from birth through adolescence*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Donaldson, S. I., Graham, J. W., & Habsen, W. B. (1994). Testing the generalizability of intervening mechanism theories: Understanding the effects of adolescent drug use prevention interventions. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 17*(2), 195–216.
- Eggert, L. L., Thompson, E. A., Herting, J. R., Nicholas, L. J. (1994). Prevention research program: Reconnecting at-risk youth. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing, 15*, 107–135.

- Ellickson, P. L., Bell, R. M., & Harrison, E. R. (1993). Changing adolescent propensities to use drugs: Results from Project ALERT. *Health Education Quarterly*, 20(2), 227–242.
- Errecart, M. T., Walberg, H. J., Ross, J. G., Gold, R. S., Fiedler, J. L., & Kolbe, L. J. (1991). Effectiveness of teenage health teaching modules. *Journal of School Health*, 61(1), 26–30.
- Flay, B. R., & Ordway, N. (1999). *Positive Action Program Studies and Evaluations 1978–1999: Evaluation of an integrated comprehensive model for improving school performance and reducing disciplinary problems*. Chicago: University of Illinois at Chicago, Health Research and Policy Centers.
- Gardner, S. E., Green, P. F., & Marcus, C. (1994). *Signs of Effectiveness II. Preventing alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use: A risk factor/resiliency-based approach*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Gilder, P., Kressler, H., & McGrew, G. (1992). Prevention and early intervention through peer support retreats. In C. E. Marcus & J. D. Swisher (Eds.), *Working with youth in high-risk environments: Experiences in prevention*. (Office of Substance Abuse Prevention, Monograph 12). Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Goldberg, L., MacKinnon, D. P., Elliot, D. L., Moe, E. L., Clarke, G., & Cheong, J. (2000). The adolescents training and learning to avoid steroids program. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 154, 332–338.
- Gottfredson, D. (1986). An empirical test of school-based environmental and individual interventions to reduce risk of delinquent behavior. *Criminology*, 24, 705–731.
- Gottfredson, D. C., & Skroban, S. (1996). A multi-model school-based prevention demonstration. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 11(1), 97–115.
- Hawkins, J. D., Catalano, R. F., Morrison, D. M., O'Donnell, J., Abbott, R. D., & Day, L. E. (1992). The Seattle Social Development Project: Effects of the first four years on protective factors and problem behaviors. In J. McCord & R. E. Tremblay (Eds.), *Preventing antisocial behavior: Intervention from birth through adolescence* (pp. 139–416). New York: Guilford Press.
- High Risk Youth Databank*. [Database online]. (1997). Rockville, MD: Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.
- Hosteler, M., & Fisher, K. (1997). Project C.A.R.E. substance abuse prevention program for high-risk youth: A longitudinal evaluation of program effectiveness. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 25(5), 397–472.
- Johnson, D. L., & Walker, T. (1987). Primary prevention of behavioral problems in Mexican-American children. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 15, 375–385.
- Johnson, K., Strader, T. N., Berbaum, Bryant, Bucholtz, Collins, D. A., & Noe, T. (1996). Reducing alcohol and other drug use by strengthening community, family, and youth resiliency: An evaluation of the Creating Lasting Connections program. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 11, 36–67.
- Kameoka, V. A. (1995). The effects of a family-focused intervention on reducing risk for substance abuse among Asian and Pacific Island youths and families: Evaluation of the Strengthening Hawaii Families Program. (Technical report prepared for the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, and the Coalition For A Drug-Free Hawaii.) Honolulu: University of Hawaii.

- Kameoka, V. A. (1998). Psychometric evaluation of measures for assessing the effectiveness of a family-focused substance abuse prevention intervention among Pacific Island families and children. Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Cultural Competence Series No. 8: *Responding to Pacific Islanders: Culturally Competent Perspectives for Substance Abuse Prevention*. (DHHS Publication No. SMA 98-3195). Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Kazdin, A. E., Siegel, T. C., & Bass, D. (1992). Cognitive problem-solving skills training and parent management training in the treatment of anti-social behavior in children. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 60*, 733–747.
- Kim, S., McLeod, J. H., & Shantis, C. (1990). A short-term outcome evaluation of the "I'm Special" drug abuse prevention program. *Journal of Drug Education, 20*, 127–138.
- Kumpfer, K. L., & Alvarado, R. (1995). Strengthening families to prevent drug use in multiethnic youth. In G. Botvin, S. Schinke, & M. Orlandi (Eds.), *Drug abuse prevention with multiethnic youth* (pp. 255–294). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Kumpfer, K. L., Molgaard, V., & Spoth, R. (1996). The Strengthening Families Program for the prevention of delinquency and drug use. In R. Peters & R. McMahon (Eds.), *Preventing childhood problems, substance abuse, and delinquency* (pp. 241–267). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Kumpfer, K., Turner, C., & Alvarado, R. (1991). A community change model for school health promotion. *Journal of Health Education, 22*(2), 94–110.
- Laird, M., & Malinoff, L.K. (2000). CSAP Exemplary Substance Abuse Prevention Program Awards. Wayne-Westland Community Schools.
- Landry, R. (1997). Peer Assistance and Leadership Program evaluation study: 1996–1997 results. Research and Educational Services.
- LoSciuto, L., Rajala, A. K., & Taylor, A. S. (1996). An outcome evaluation of Across Ages: An intergenerational mentoring approach to drug prevention. *Journal of Adolescent Research, 11*(1), 116–129.
- National Center for Health Education. (1991). *Growing Healthy*. New York: Author.
- Olds, D. L. (1997). The prenatal/early infancy project: Fifteen years later. In G. W. Albee & T. P. Gullotta (Eds.), *Primary prevention* (pp. 41–67). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Olds, D. L., Henderson, C. R., Chamberlin, R., & Tatelbaum, R. (1986). Preventing child abuse and neglect: A randomized trial of nurse home visitation. *Pediatrics, 78*, 65–78.
- Olds, D. L., Henderson, C. R., Chamberlin, R., & Tatelbaum, R. (1988). Improving the life-course development of socially disadvantaged mothers: A randomized trial of nurse home visitation. *American Journal of Public Health, 78*, 1436–1445.
- Palmgreen, P., Lorch, E. P., Donohew, L., Harrington, N. G., DeSilva, M., & Helm, D. (1995). Reaching at-risk populations in mass media drug abuse prevention campaigns: Sensation seeking as a targeting variable. *Drugs and Society, 8*(3/4), 29–46.
- Pentz, M. A., Dwyer, J. H., MacKinnon, D. P., Flay, B. R., Hansen, W. B., Wang, E. Y. I., & Johnson, C. A. (1989). Multi-community trial for primary prevention of adolescent drug abuse: Effects on drug use prevalence. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 261*, 3259–3266 (also known as Project Star, Project I-Star).

- Pentz, M. A., Trebow, E. A., Hansen, W. B., MacKinnon, D. P., Dwyer, J. H., Johnson, C. A., Flay, B. F., Daniels, S., & Cormack, C. C. Effects of program implementation on adolescent drug use behavior: The Midwestern Prevention Project (MPP). *Evaluation Review*, 14, 264–289 (also known as Project Star, Project I-Star).
- Perry, C. L., Williams, C. L., Veblen-Mortenson, S., Toomey, T. L., Komro, K. A., Anstine, P. S., McGovern, P. G., Finnegan, J. R., Forster, J. L., Wagenaar, A. C., & Wolfson, M. (1996). Project Northland: Outcomes of a community wide alcohol use prevention program during early adolescence. *American Journal of Public Health*, 86, 956–965.
- Pierce, L., & Shields, N. (1998). The Be A Star community-based after-school program: developing resiliency factors in high-risk preadolescent youth. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 26, 175–183.
- Richards-Colocino, N., McKenzie, P., & Newton, R. R. (1996). Project Success: Comprehensive intervention services for middle school high-risk youth. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 11(1), 130.
- Schinke, S., & Cole, K. (1995). Prevention in community settings. In G. Botvin, S. Schinke, & M. A. Orlandi (Eds.), *Drug abuse prevention with multiethnic youth* (pp. 215–232). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Seitz, V., Rosenbaum, L. K., & Apfel, N. H. (1985). Effects of family support intervention: A ten-year follow-up. *Child Development*, 56, 376–391.
- Sloboda, Z., & David, S. L. (1997). *Preventing drug use among children and adolescents*. Rockville, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.
- Spoth, R., Redmond, C., Haggerty, K., & Ward, T. (1995). A controlled parenting skills outcome study examining individual difference and attendance effects. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 57, 294–310.
- St. Pierre, T. L., & Kaltreider, L. D. (1997). Strategies for involving parents of high-risk youth in drug prevention: A three-year longitudinal study in Boys & Girls Clubs. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 25(5), 473–486.
- Strader, T. N., Collins, D. A., & Noe, T. (2000). *Building healthy individuals, families, and communities: Creating lasting connections*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Sussman, S., Dent, C. W., Stacy, A. W., Burton, D., & Flay, B. R. (1995). *Developing school-based tobacco use prevention and cessation programs*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Sussman, S., Dent, C. W., Stacy, A. W., Hodgson, C., Burton, D., & Flay, B. R. (1993). Project Towards No Tobacco Use: Implementation, process and posttest knowledge evaluation. *Health Education Research: Theory and Practices*, 8, 109–123.
- Sussman, S., Dent, C. W., Stacy, A. W., Sun, P., Craig, S., Simon, T. R., Burton, D. & Flay, B. R. (1993). Project Towards No Tobacco Use one-year behavior outcomes. *American Journal of Public Health*, 83, 1245–1250.
- Szapocznik, J., Kurtines, W., Santisteban, D. A., Pantin, H., Scopetta, M., Mancilla, Y., Aisenberg, S., MacIntosh, S. Perez-Vidal, A., & Coatsworth, J. D. (1997). The evolution of a structural ecosystems theory for working with Hispanic families in culturally pluralistic contexts. In J. G. Garcia & M. C. Zea (Eds.), *Psychological interventions and research with latino populations*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Szapocznik, J., Santisteban, A., Rio, A., Perez-Vidal, A., Santisteban, D., & Kurtines, W. M. (1989). Family effectiveness training: An intervention to prevent drug abuse and problem behaviors. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 11(1), 4–27.

- Tierney, J. P., & Grossman, J. B., with Resch, N. L. (1995). *Making a difference: An impact study of Big Brothers/Big Sisters*. Philadelphia: Public/Private Ventures.
- Tremblay, R., Kurtz, L., Masse, L. C., Vitaro, F., & Pihl, R. O. (1994). *A bimodal preventive intervention for disruptive kindergarten boys: Its impact through mid-adolescence*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Montreal Research Unit on Children's Psycho-Social Maladjustment.
- Wagenaar, A. C., Murray, D. M., Gehan, J. P., Wolfson, M., Forster, J. L., Toomey, T. L., Perry, C. L., & Jones-Webb, R. (2000). Communities Mobilizing for Change on Alcohol: Outcomes from a randomized community trial. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 61*, 85-94.
- Werch, C., Young, M., Clark, M., Garrett, C., Hooks, S., & Kersten, C. (1991). Effects of a take home drug and program on drug related communications. *Journal of School Health, 61*, 346-351.
- Williams, C. L., & Perry, C. L. (1998). Design and implementation of parent programs for a community-wide adolescent alcohol use prevention program. *Journal of Prevention and Intervention in the Community, 17*(2): 65-80.
- Young, M., Kersten, C., & Werch, C. (1996). Evaluation of a parent-child drug education program. *Journal of Drug Education, 26*, 57-68.